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Notation

- ANPSF -- Active Neighborhood Pattern Sensitive Fault
- APNPSF – Active and Passive Neighborhood PSF
- Neighborhood -- Immediate cluster of cells whose pattern makes base cell fail
- NPSF -- Neighborhood Pattern Sensitive Fault
- PNPSF -- Passive Neighborhood PSF
- SNPSF -- Static Neighborhood Pattern Sensitive Fault
Neighborhood Pattern Sensitive Coupling Faults

- Cell $i$’s ability to change influenced by all other memory cell contents, which may be a 0/1 pattern or a transition pattern.
- Most general $k$-Coupling Fault
- Base cell -- cell under test
- Deleted neighborhood -- neighborhood without the base cell
- Neighborhood is single position around base cell
- Testing assumes read operations are fault free
Type 1 Active NPSF

- **Active:** Base cell changes when one deleted neighborhood cell transitions

- **Condition for detection & location:** Each base cell must be read in state 0 and state 1, for all possible deleted neighborhood pattern changes.

- $C_{i,j} <d_0, d_1, d_3, d_4 ; b>$

- $C_{i,j} <0, ↓, 1, 1; 0>$ and $C_{i,j} <0, ↓, 1, 1; ↑>$

![Diagram of Neighborhood Cells, Base Cell, and Deleted Neighborhood with coordinates 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4]
Type 2 Active NPSF

- Used when diagonal couplings are significant, and do not necessarily cause horizontal/vertical coupling
Passive NPSF

- Passive: A certain neighborhood pattern prevents the base cell from changing.
- Condition for detection and location: Each base cell must be written and read in state 0 and in state 1, for all deleted neighborhood pattern changes.
- $\uparrow/0 (\downarrow/1)$ -- Base cell fault effect indicating that base cannot change.
Static NPSF

- **Static:** Base cell forced into a particular state when deleted neighborhood contains particular pattern.
- **Differs from active** -- need not have a transition to sensitive SNPSF
- **Condition for detection and location:** Apply all 0 and 1 combinations to \( k \)-cell neighborhood, and verify that each base cell was written.
- \( C_{i,j} < 0, 1, 0, 1; - / 0 \) and \( C_{i,j} < 0, 1, 0, 1; - / 1 \)
Eulerian / Hamiltonian
Graph Tour Sequences

- Both used for writing shorter patterns
- Hamiltonian – traverses each graph node once
- Eulerian – traverses each graph arc exactly once
Type 1 Tiling Neighborhoods

- Write changes $k$ different neighborhoods
- Tiling Method: Cover all memory with non-overlapping neighborhoods
Two Group Method

- Only for Type-1 neighborhoods
- Use checkerboard pattern, cell is simultaneously a base cell in group 1, and a deleted neighborhood cell in 2

(a) Labels of cells of group-1.
(b) Labels of cells of group-2.
write base-cells with 0;

loop

apply a pattern; { it could change the base-cell from 0 to 1. }

read base-cell;

endloop;

write base-cells with 1;

loop

apply a pattern; { it could change the base-cell from 1 to 0. }

read base-cell;

endloop;
# NPSF Testing Algorithm Summary

- **A**: active, **P**: passive, **S**: static
- **D**: Detects Faults, **L**: Locates Faults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Fault Location?</th>
<th>Fault Coverage</th>
<th>Operation Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TDANPSF1G</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SAF L TF L</td>
<td>163.5 n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLAPNPSF1G</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SAF L TF L</td>
<td>195.5 n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLAPNPSF2T</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SAF L TF L</td>
<td>5122 n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLAPNPSF1T</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SAF L TF L</td>
<td>194 n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSNPSF1G</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SAF L TF L</td>
<td>43.5 n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSNPSF1T</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SAF L TF L</td>
<td>39.2 n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSNPSF2T</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SAF L TF L</td>
<td>569.78 n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDSNPSF1G</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SAF L TF L</td>
<td>36.125 n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## NPSF Testing Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TDANPSF1G</td>
<td>Type-1</td>
<td>2 Group</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLAPNPSF1G</td>
<td>Type-1</td>
<td>2 Group</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLAPNPSF2T</td>
<td>Type-2</td>
<td>Tiling</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLAPNPSF1T</td>
<td>Type-1</td>
<td>Tiling</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSNPSF1G</td>
<td>Type-1</td>
<td>2 Group</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSNPSF1T</td>
<td>Type-1</td>
<td>Tiling</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSNPSF2T</td>
<td>Type-2</td>
<td>Tiling</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDSNPSF1G</td>
<td>Type-1</td>
<td>2 Group</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fault Hierarchy

```
APNPSF
  ↓
SNPSF  ANPSF  PNPSF
  ↓
TF
  ↓
SAF
```
Cache DRAM Testing

- Combines DRAM with SRAM cache
Required Cache DRAM Tests

- DRAM Functional Test
- SRAM Functional Test
- Data Transfer Test between SRAM and DRAM
- High-Speed Operation Test (100 MHz)
- Concurrent Operation Test
- Cache Miss Test
Testing Extremely Fast DRAMS -- RAMBUS

- Use cheap and paid for ATE for die-sort test, burn-in test, & failure analysis
- Use expensive, high-speed Hewlett-Packard 500 MHz HP-8300, F660 ATE with design-for-testability (DFT) hardware for high-speed interface logic test
  - Allows direct memory core access at pins
  - Bypasses high-speed bus
- Use cheap, slow ATE for memory test with PLL
- Need low-inductance socket, short cables, PLL jitter testing, & time-domain reflectometry
- Need critical path-delay fault timing tests
Functional ROM Testing

- Unidirectional SAF model -- only sa0 faults or only sa1 faults
- Store cyclic redundancy code (CRC) on ROM, ATE reads ROM & recomputes CRC, compares with ROM CRC
  - Tests single-bit errors, double-bit errors, odd-bit errors, multiple adjacent errors
Electrical Testing

Test for:

- Major voltage / current / delay deviation from part data book value
- Unacceptable operation limits
- Divided bit-line voltage imbalance in RAM
- RAM sleeping sickness -- broken capacitor, leaks -- shortens refresh interval
RAM Organization
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DC Parametric Tests

- Production test -- done during burn-in
  - Applied to all chips
  - Chips experience high temperature + over-voltage power supply
  - Catches initial, early lifetime component failures -- avoid selling chips that fail soon
# Test Output Leakage Current

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Apply high to chip select, deselect chip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Set chip pins to be in tri-state mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Force high on each data-out line – measure $I_{OZ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Force low on each data-out line – measure $I_{OZ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Select chip (low on chip select)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Set read, force high on each address/data line, measure $I_I$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Set read, force low on each address/data line, measure $I_I$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Possible Test Outcomes:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>$I_{OZ} &lt; 10 \mu A$ and $I_I &lt; 10 \mu A$ (passes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>$I_{OZ} \geq 10 \mu A$ (fails)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>$I_I \geq 10 \mu A$ (fails)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Voltage Bump Test

Tests if power supply variations make RAM read out bad data -- DRAM C shorted to supply

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Zero out memory.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2. | Increase power above $V_{cc}$ in 0.01 V. steps.  
    For each voltage, read memory. Stop as soon as 1 is read anywhere, record supply V. as $V_{high}$. |
| 3. | Fill memory with 1’s. |
| 4. | Decrease power below $V_{cc}$ in 0.01 V. steps.  
    For each voltage, read memory. Stop as soon as 0 is read anywhere, record supply V. as $V_{low}$. |

Possible Test Outcomes:

1. $V_{high}$ and $V_{low}$ inconsistent with data book (fails)
AC Parametric Tests

- Set a DC bias voltage level on pins
- Apply AC voltages at some frequencies & measure terminal impedance or dynamic resistance
- Determines chip delays caused by input & output C's
- No information on functional data capabilities or DC parameters
Write Release Time Tests

- $t_{WC}$ – Write Cycle Time – minimum time required for 1 write cycle
- $t_{WR}$ -- Address set-up time sensitivity – Write Release Time that address must be held stable after $CS$ is released during write
Access Time Tests

1. Split memory into 2 halves.
2. Write 0 in 1st half and 1 in other half.
3. Read entire memory and check correctness.
4. Alternate between addresses in two halves

1. Speed up read access time until reading fails, and take that time as access time delay.

- **Characterization:**
  - Use MATS++ with increasingly shorter access time until failure.
  - Use March C instead of MATS++.

- **Production test:** run MATS++ at specified access time, and see if memory fails.
Running Time Tests

**Method:**

Perform read operations of 0s and 1s from alternating addresses at specified rapid speed.

**Alternate characterization method:**

Alternate read operations at increasingly rapid speeds until an operation fails.
Sense Amplifier Recovery Fault Tests

- Write operation followed by read/write at different address

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Write repeating pattern <code>dddddddddd</code> to memory locations (d is 0 or 1);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Read long string of 0s (1s) starting at 1\textsuperscript{st} location up to location with d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Read single 1 (0) from location with d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Repeat Steps 2 and 3, but writing rather than reading in Step 2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dual-Port SRAM Tests
# Standby Current Test

## Method:
Check all 4 possibilities for voltage combinations at 2 ports. 4 more Combinations occur if both ports have either TTL or CMOS level inputs.

## Possible Test Outcomes:
Test fails if one port does not meet the current specification.
**Tests of Dual-Ported RAMs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test both RAM access ports simultaneously</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Write data into interrupt location of 1 port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor <strong>INT</strong> output of other port to see if interruption sensed at other port</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Arbitration Test

- Test arbitration hardware between 2 ports in RAM
- If semaphore does not set or release, or if RAM locks up, then chip is faulty

**Semaphore Testing Method:**

| For each port, request, verify, and release each semaphore latch. |
Memory Testing Summary

- Multiple fault models are essential
- Combination of tests is essential:
  - March -- SRAM and DRAM
  - NPSF -- DRAM
  - DC Parametric -- Both
  - AC Parametric -- Both
- Inductive Fault Analysis is now required